The Impact of Obesity on US Mortality Levels: The Importance of Age and Cohort Factors in Population Estimates

Among health professionals there is widespread agreement that high rates of obesity present serious public health challenges in the United States. Yet the ultimate toll that obesity will take on the health and longevity of Americans remains a hotly contested issue. Disagreement on this point is driven largely by contradictory findings about the obesity–mortality association, as well as widely divergent estimates of the fraction of mortality in the US population that is attributable to overweight and obesity—also known as population attributable fractions (PAFs). Without a broader consensus in the research community, policymakers will lack clear guidance on how to prioritize and appropriately respond to the US obesity epidemic.

Our study helps resolve these debates by reassessing obesity–mortality associations and PAFs by using concepts and methods that better capture the complexity of the epidemic and its impact on US mortality. We note that a PAF is composed of 2 factors: (1) the individual-level association between body mass and mortality risk (i.e., the effect) and (2) patterns in US overweight and obesity prevalence (i.e., the population’s exposure to this effect).

Early estimates of obesity-attributable mortality were criticized for failing to account for either variability (e.g., by age) in obesity’s effect on mortality (component 1 of the PAF) or the divergent rates of obesity across subpopulations (component 2 of the PAF). More recent studies that attend to some of these issues have produced lower estimated fractions of US deaths attributable to overweight and obesity than found in earlier investigations. Although corrective actions taken in recent studies were useful and necessary, our main contention is that they underestimated the effects of obesity on US mortality for 2 main reasons.

First, survival models assessing mortality differences between the normal weight, overweight, and obese populations in recent studies failed to account for factors that potentially bias estimates of the obesity–mortality association. For example, older obese individuals are less likely than their normal-weight peers to participate in health surveys because of obesity-related health complications. Because previous studies have not accounted for this, associations between measures of high body mass (e.g., body mass index [BMI], defined as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters), waist-to-thigh ratio, and mortality have been reported to be weaker than they likely really are. Because, in part, of such limitations, many existing studies have concluded that overweight and obesity confer only a modest increase in mortality risk.

Second, PAF estimates for overweight and obesity as causes of mortality are usually derived from equations that use average rates of obesity to indicate exposure. These population averages overlook substantial differences in obesity prevalence among persons belonging to different birth cohorts. Although obesity is certainly influenced by the biological aging process (i.e., age effects) and broad societal changes that have transpired in recent decades (i.e., period effects), it is also influenced by birth cohort membership (i.e., cohort effects)—the so-called “third dimension” of the obesity epidemic. Birth cohort membership is important because it represents the onset of exposure to obesogenic environments; newer birth cohorts tend to have earlier onset and, thus, higher rates of obesity than their predecessors. Although recent work suggests that birth cohort dynamics are key to understanding the future of US health and longevity, existing PAF estimates for obesity as a cause of US mortality omit them from consideration. As a result, these studies may underestimate the impact of rising obesity prevalence on US mortality.

In addition to these 2 main concerns, we also note that much previous research indicates that the obesity–mortality link diminishes with age, with some evidence suggesting that overweight may even provide a survival advantage at older ages. However, recent studies have shown that weaker associations between obesity and mortality at older ages likely reflect confounding factors.
from cohort variation in mortality risk,\textsuperscript{19} healthy participant effects (i.e., biases introduced by survey selection of healthy respondents),\textsuperscript{20} or duration of one’s life spent obese.\textsuperscript{21} In fact, contrary to current wisdom, the obesity—mortality association has been shown to grow significantly stronger with age after one accounts for these confounding factors.\textsuperscript{22} Estimates of PAF for obesity as a cause of US mortality need to be updated in light of these recent discoveries.

We address these problems in some previous research via analyses of a large, nationally representative data set composed of multiple birth cohorts that includes (1) previously unaccounted for confounders of the obesity—mortality association, and (2) cohort-specific estimates of obesity prevalence. Results from our models suggest that the obesity epidemic is worthy of its status as a leading public health concern in the United States.

**METHODS**

Data came from 19 consecutive waves of the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), 1986 through 2004. These data were linked to follow-up mortality information at the National Death Index through the end of 2006 by the National Center for Health Statistics; we dropped respondents ineligible for matches from our sample. The 1986–2006 NHIS Linked Mortality Files are the most up-to-date data available, and the population-based sampling design of the NHIS allows us to produce survival estimates for the US non-Hispanic Black (henceforth “Black”) and non-Hispanic White (henceforth “White”) noninstitutionalized adult populations between January 1, 1986, and December 31, 2006.\textsuperscript{23}

To focus on the association between obesity and adult mortality, the final sample includes respondents aged 40 to 84.9 years at any time between their survey date and December 31, 2006. We omitted respondents aged 85 years or older to safeguard against bias induced by the open-ended 85+ age coding in the NHIS. We also dropped respondents with BMI less than 18.5 (less than 1% of the sample) from the sample because “underweight” BMI is often indicative of preexisting illness, frailty, or increased mortality risk.\textsuperscript{7,24} Also, we excluded cases with missing values on height, weight, educational attainment, marital status, or region of residence. Our final sample consisted of 290,383 White men, 41,710 Black men, 324,131 White women, and 61,344 Black women. We stratified all analyses by race/ethnicity and sex; we omitted other race/ethnicity groups because of small sample sizes.

**Outcome and Measures**

All-cause mortality was the main outcome in our study. We estimated hazard ratios between study participants with high levels of BMI and participants with normal BMI by using Royston-Parmar survival models with time measured as respondents’ attained age, either at date of death or at the end of the follow-up period (right censoring occurred on December 31, 2006). We used the STSET command in Stata version 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX) to account for left-censoring by declaring each respondent’s exposure time to begin at age at time of survey.

Covariates included educational attainment, household income, marital status, region of residence, age at time of survey, and birth cohort. We categorized educational attainment as less than high school, high-school graduate, some college, or bachelor’s degree or higher. We categorized family income, as coded in the NHIS, as earning less than the federal poverty level, greater than or equal to poverty but less than $45,000, or $45,000 or higher. Because of high nonresponse rates to questions about income, we created an additional “missing” income category. We divided marital status and region of residence each into 4 categories: married, divorced or separated, never married, and widowed; and Northeast, South, Midwest, and West.

Consistent with US federal guidelines, we coded respondents as having normal weight if BMI was calculated to be 18.5 to 24.9, overweight if BMI was calculated to be 25.0 to 29.9, and obese if BMI was calculated to be 30.0 or higher. Consistent with previous studies, we further divided the obesity category into “grade 1” obesity (BMI = 30.0–34.9) and “grade 2/3” obesity (BMI ≥ 35.0). Height and weight were self-reported in NHIS; we addressed biases in self-reporting by (1) correcting underestimates of body weight given by proxy respondents,\textsuperscript{25} and (2) adjusting NHIS estimates to match BMI levels and trends in the National Health and Nutrition Examinations and Surveys, which are based on clinical measures of height and weight.\textsuperscript{26} Descriptive statistics of all sample outcomes and covariates are provided in Table A (available as a supplement to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org).

**Statistical Analyses**

To estimate the proportion of early adult deaths in the United States between 1986 and 2006 attributable to each BMI level, we proceeded across 3 analytical steps: (1) estimate age-specific obesity rates among different birth cohorts, (2) estimate age-specific hazard ratios, and (3) use estimates (1) and (2) to estimate cohort-specific PAFs. First, to assess obesity prevalence we fitted hierarchical age—period—cohort cross-classified random effects models to obtain age, period, and cohort coefficient estimates for the likelihood of overweight, grade 1 obesity status, and grade 2/3 obesity status between 1986 and 2004.\textsuperscript{27} Linear and quadratic functional forms of age were modeled. The model is expressed as

\[
\logit[Pr \left( Y_{ijk} = 1 \right)] = \alpha_{jk} + \beta_1 A_i + \beta_2 A_i^2,
\]

where \( Y_{ijk} \) represents the existence of obesity for the \( i \)-th respondent for \( j = 1, \ldots, n_{jk} \) individuals in the \( j \)-th survey year for \( j = 1, \ldots, J \) years and the \( k \)-th 5-year birth cohort for \( k = 1, \ldots, K \) cohorts. The \( A_i \) and \( A_i^2 \) terms represent yearly age and age-squared, respectively, which were centered on 25 years of age at time of survey.

The random intercept in equation 1 is expressed as

\[
\alpha_{jk} = \pi_0 + \theta_{0j} + \epsilon_{0k},
\]

where \( \alpha_{jk} \) indicates that the overall mean logit of obesity varies for each survey year of observation \( j \) and each 5-year birth cohort \( k \). \( \pi_0 \) is the expected logit when the centered values of \( A_i \) and \( A_i^2 \) are zero, \( \theta_{0j} \) is the average period effect averaged over all birth cohorts with variance \( \sigma^2_{\theta j} \), and \( \epsilon_{0k} \) is the overall cohort effect averaged over all survey years with variance \( \sigma^2_{\epsilon k} \).

With the models specified in equations 1 and 2, we fitted a cross-classified random effects model of the logit of the probability of obesity separately for US Black and White men and women by using Stata version 12’s XTMEELOGIT program, weighting the samples
to be representative of the 1986–2004 US noninstitutionalized population.

Second, we used Royston-Parmar survival models to estimate instantaneous age-varying obesity hazard ratios for each sex-race/ethnicity subsample between ages 40 and 85 years. Respondents’ ages at time of survey were included in all models to account for biasing effects of age-based survey selection, and interactions between BMI levels and age-at-survey control for body weight differences in survey selection bias.\textsuperscript{22,28} We also accounted for cohort variation in mortality risk by including 5-year cohort dummy variables. Thus, unlike previous estimates of PAF for obesity as a cause of mortality, our hazard models controlled for cohort variation in mortality risk and BMI variation in age-related survey selection bias.

Using restricted cubic spline function of ln(t) with knots \( k_j \), written as \( s(\ln(t)|\gamma, k_0) \), we estimated Royston-Parmar models on the baseline log cumulative hazard scale. We incorporated age-varying effects of the 3 BMI categories by using interactions of the form 
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{3} s(\ln(t)|\delta_j, k_j)z_{ij} \]
resulting in the following model for the log cumulative hazard:
\[
(3) \quad \ln(H_0(t|x_i) = s(\ln(t)|\gamma, k_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{3} s(\ln(t)|\delta_j, k_j)z_{ij} + x_i\beta^2
\]
for \( j = 1, \ldots, 3 \) BMI levels interacting with the spline terms using \( k_j \), number of knots for the \( j \)th covariate (see Royston and Lambert\textsuperscript{29} for a thorough discussion). We stratified models by sex and race/ethnicity and estimated with Stata version 12’s STPM2 program, using sample weights that are representative of the US noninstitutionalized population.

Next, we combined estimates of age-specific hazard ratios for overweight, grade 1 obesity, and grade 2/3 obesity with cohorts’ age-specific exposures to obesity, and age variation is incorporated through both exposure and risk. We separately estimated the PAF for White and Black men and women to estimate sex- and race/ethnicity-specific PAFs across age groups in different birth cohorts.

**RESULTS**

Results indicate substantial cohort variation independent of period change in the likelihood of overweight, grade 1 obesity, and grade 2/3 obesity, which is consistent with existing findings (Table B, available as a supplement to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org).\textsuperscript{9,11} Plots of estimated age-specific obesity prevalence (BMI ≥ 30) by birth cohort illustrate the degree to which obesity rates vary across birth cohorts (Figure 1). Average obesity prevalence between ages 40 and

**FIGURE 1—Age-specific obesity rates by cohort among (a) White women, (b) Black women, (c) White men, and (d) Black men: National Health Interview Survey Linked Mortality Files, 1986–2004.**

Note. Obesity defined as body mass index ≥ 30 kg/m\(^2\). Black horizontal line indicates average obesity rate for each subpopulation. Estimated from sample aged ≥ 25 years at the time of the survey.
85 years was 21.4% for both Black and White men, and 43.5% and 23.0% for Black and White women, respectively. Evident in Figure 1 is significant cohort heterogeneity in age-specific obesity rates around these mean values. These changes in US adult obesity rates do not reflect subtle shifts in BMI levels over time. Rather, they reflect rapid increases in obesity prevalence among more recent birth cohorts (also see online Figure A available as a supplement to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org, for the graph of obesity prevalence plotted with confidence intervals).

**Obesity and Individual-Level Mortality Risk**

Results from Royston-Parmar survival models are presented in Tables C and D (available as supplements to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org), and estimated hazard ratios are graphically depicted in Figure 2. Results indicate that overweight, grade 1 obesity, and grade 2/3 obesity were all significantly associated with US men’s and women’s adult mortality between 1986 and 2006. The strength of these associations varies significantly by age, sex, and race/ethnicity. Importantly, counter to most extant research, obesity’s effect on mortality risk grows stronger with increasing age. Estimated coefficients of the interactions between the cubic splines and overweight, grade 1 obesity, and grade 2/3 obesity in Tables C and D are

---

**FIGURE 2—Fitted mortality hazard ratios by body mass index level, race/ethnicity, and sex for (a) White women, (b) Black women, (c) White men, (d) and Black men: National Health Interview Survey Linked Mortality Files, 1986–2004.**

Note. Overweight defined as body mass index 25.0–29.9 kg/m², grade 1 obesity as 30–34.9 kg/m², and grade 2/3 obesity as ≥ 35 kg/m².
statistically significant (also see Figure B available as a supplement to the online version of this article at http://www.ajph.org, for the graph of grade 1 obesity hazard ratios plotted with confidence intervals).

These age patterns in the obesity–mortality associations became evident only after we controlled for obesity variation in the effect of respondents’ ages-at-survey on mortality risk, suggesting that age-related survey selection strongly influences the estimates of obesity’s effect on age-specific mortality risk.10–22

Percentage of US Deaths Associated With Obesity

We combined estimates of age-specific hazard ratios for overweight and obesity with cohorts’ age-specific rates of overweight, grade 1 obesity, and grade 2/3 obesity and then standardized them by the 2000 age distribution of deaths for US Black and White men and women to estimate the percentage of adult mortality attributable to each BMI level (Table 1).

Between 1986 and 2006, we estimate that 5.0% (95% confidence interval [CI] = –6.8, 18.3) of adult deaths among Black men and 15.6% (95% CI = 8.6, 22.9) of deaths among White men were associated with overweight and obese BMI levels. Furthermore, 26.8% (95% CI = 7.3, 47.4) of deaths among Black women and 21.7% (95% CI = 14.4, 29.3) of deaths among White women were associated with overweight and obese BMI levels during this time period. We found the PAF for overweight BMI as a cause of US adult mortality to be significant only for White women, and the combined PAF for overweight and obesity was not significant for Black men (only the PAF for grade 2/3 obesity was significant). When aggregated and standardized by the race/ethnicity and sex distribution of US deaths in year 2000, these results suggest that overweight and obesity were likely responsible for about 18.2% of US Black and White men’s and women’s adult deaths between 1986 and 2006.

Our estimates of the amount of US adult mortality associated with elevated body mass were higher than those reported in recent studies,5–8,12 and reveal an essential link between mortality and the cohort dimension of the obesity epidemic.11,19,21,22 To illustrate how this “third dimension” of the obesity epidemic shapes the relationship between obesity and US adult mortality levels, Figure 3 presents 5-year birth cohort-specific PAF estimates for high body mass as a cause of death across each cohort’s respective age range among older NHIS respondents, where mortality is most concentrated.

Age patterns of PAFs for Black and White men and women show that grade 1 obesity...
accounts for a rising share of older adult deaths across successive birth cohorts. For instance, estimates suggest that grade 1 obesity accounted for about 3.4% of deaths at age 66 for White men born 1915 to 1919. For White men born 1925 to 1929, however, grade 1 obesity accounted for about 4.5% of deaths at age 66, and for White men born 1935 to 1939, it accounted for about 5.8% of deaths at age 66. These steady rises in PAF for class 1 obesity as a cause of adult mortality reflect more recent cohorts’ greater exposure to obesity across their respective life courses.

DISCUSSION

Recent evidence suggests that researchers ought to account for “three dimensions” of time (age, period of observation, and birth cohort membership) when fitting trend models of population health and mortality. To accurately estimate PAFs for obesity as a cause of US mortality, careful consideration must be given to the cohort dimensions of the US obesity epidemic. Consistent with past research, we have shown that the prevalence of US obesity varies not just by age and period of observation, but also by birth cohort membership. When this fact is included in PAF estimates of obesity as a cause of US adult mortality, the results indicate that obesity has accounted for an increasing share of US deaths in more recent birth cohorts than in earlier birth cohorts.

We have also found evidence consistent with previous research indicating that the effect of high BMI on mortality risk grows significantly stronger with increasing age. Although the bulk of existing public health literature has suggested that the association between obesity status and mortality risk is either age-invariant or substantially weakens with increasing age, it has not accounted for some important factors that likely distort the estimates. After we accounted for one prominent factor, namely, age-related survey selection bias, by BMI level we found that the effects of overweight, grade 1 obesity, and grade 2/3 obesity on mortality risk for US men and women grow substantially stronger with age.

Together, our findings add to the obesity–mortality literature in 4 ways: First, we built on recent research by demonstrating the need to use cohort-based prevalence of obesity when estimating the percentage of US mortality attributable to obesity. Second, we showed substantial age-, period-, cohort-, racial/ethnic-, and sex-based variation in PAF estimates for obesity as a cause of US mortality. Policymakers need to be mindful of the disparate effects obesity has on the health and longevity of different subgroups of the US population, and correspondingly enact appropriate obesity-relevant policies to serve those populations. Third, contrary to prevailing wisdom regarding the effect of the obesity epidemic on US longevity, our findings revealed that obesity accounted for a large share of US adult mortality in recent decades—about 18% of all deaths between ages 40 and 85 years during the time period 1986 to 2004. Fourth, our study suggests that the cumulative effect of the obesity epidemic on US adult mortality will likely continue into the near future, as younger cohorts with earlier onset and higher prevalence of obesity age across their respective life courses. Although US obesity incidence has slowed in recent years and some evidence suggests it has stalled for some age groups, obesity-related goals in Healthy People 2010 were not met and the cohort dimension of the obesity epidemic will likely carry forward the health and mortality implications of the epidemic for many years to come.

Our findings attend to the complexity of the obesity epidemic as it spreads through the population and therein signals that our concern about its population-level health effects should be substantial. The message we deliver stands in sharp contrast to conclusions from some previous research that did not attend to the “third” cohort dimension of the epidemic, and by doing so substantially underestimated the epidemic’s effects on US mortality. The large mortality burden we document suggests that current projections of US life expectancy should be modified to account for the impact of rising obesity prevalence, especially among more recent birth cohorts.

We believe that it is imperative for the US public and those who construct policy for that public to recognize that population health and more than a century of steady gains in life expectancy are being jeopardized by the obesity epidemic. Indeed, evidence has already implicated high rates of obesity as a significant contributor to the United States’ relatively low life expectancy among high-income countries. Addressing this problem will require careful attention to all 3 temporal dimensions of the obesity epidemic, particularly the disconcertingly high prevalence of obesity among today’s younger Americans.
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